SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board

Author: Correa	Analyst:	Roger	Lackey	Bill Number: AB 10
See Prior Related Bills: Analysis	Telephone	e: <u>845</u>	-3627	Amended Date: 03-23-99
	Attorney:	Doug	Bramhall	Sponsor:
SUBJECT: Prepaid Minimum Tax/Qualified New Corporations/Reduce To \$300 1/1/99 & To \$0 1/1/2000/Increase to \$300 1/1/2003				
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS A introduced/amended	CCEPTED.	Amendm	ents reflect sugg	estions of previous analysis of bill as
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENU	JE. A new re	evenue est	timate is provide	ed.
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOL' introduced/amended	VE THE DEI	PARTME	NT'S CONCER	eNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.				
X DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO Neutral.				
EMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED March 1, 1999, STILL APPLIES.				
OTHER - See comments below.				
SUMMARY OF BILL				
This bill would provide that a qualified new corporation, for income years beginning on or after January 1, 2000, and before January 1, 2003, shall not be subject to the prepayment of minimum franchise tax to the Secretary of State (SOS). For income years beginning on or after January 1, 2003, the prepayment to the SOS for qualified new corporations would return to \$300.				
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT				
The March 23, 1999, amendments limited the income years to those before January 1, 2003, in which qualified new corporations would not be subject to the \$300 prepayment of the minimum franchise tax to the SOS.				
The amendment also added the requirement that the SOS to report to the Legislature by January 31, 2002, regarding the number of new small businesses that incorporated in each calendar year since 1996 and the length of time those businesses continued doing business. This analysis will not discuss this report requirement as it does not impact this department.				
The department's analysis of AB 10 as amended, March 1, 1999, still applies.				
Implementation Consideration				
Under existing law, a qualified conditions to benefit from the conditions are not met the qual additional tax in an amount of	reduced lified n	prepa ew cor	yment (\$30 poration i	00) to the SOS. If these is required to pay an
Board Position: S NA SA O XN OUA	=	NP NA PEN	R NDING	Department/Legislative Director Date Johnnie Lou Rosas 4/9/1999

C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\AB 10 03-23-99SA9F.DOC 04/20/99 9:25 AM

Assembly Bill 10 (Correa) Amended March 23, 1999 Page 2

This bill would not require the qualified new corporation to pay the additional tax if it is later determined that it did not meet the conditions necessary to receive the benefit of not being subject to the prepayment to the SOS.

BOARD POSITION

Neutral.

At its March 23, 1999, meeting, the Franchise Tax Board voted 2-0 to take a neutral position on this bill as introduced December 7, 1998.